# User feedback on [name of tool] applied to [name of programme]

[Month and Year]; Contact: [Name and hyperlink to profile or to email address]

## General information

**Name of evaluation tool:**

**Reason for choosing evaluation tool:**

**Name of surveillance component or programme evaluated in case study**:

**Country of programme**:

**Surveillance component or programme covers** (tick one)**:**

* AMU
* AMR
* Both
* Other, please describe:

**What is covered by (part of) component or programme evaluated** (tick at least one):

* Humans
* Livestock
* Aquaculture
* Bees
* Green environment
* Aquatic environment
* Food chain
* Companion animals
* Equidae
* Camelids and Deer
* Wildlife
* Other, please describe:

**Objective(s) of evaluation** (tick at least one):

* Performance
* Infrastructure
* Functionality
* Operations
* Collaboration
* One Health-ness / the strength of One Health
* Impact
* Other, please describe:

**Main results of evaluation**:

**Time period for evaluation**:

**Name(s) of evaluator(s)**:

**Affiliation of evaluator(s)**:

**Evaluator(s) relationship with tool** (tick at least one)**:**

* Owner
* Developer
* User without involvement in development or ownership of tool
* Other, please describe:

**Citation of work, if published**:

## Scoring of different aspects of the evaluation tool

*When answering, please describe in words and use a scale with four levels, where 1 = not*

*satisfactory, 2 = major improvements needed, 3 = some improvements needed, 4 = satisfactory, and provide a short explanation for the score.*

**1) User friendliness**:

**2) Compliance with evaluation needs/requirements**:

**3) Efficiency**:

**4) Overall appearance**:

**4) Use of a step-wise approach to evaluation:**

**5) Overall appearance**:

**6) Generation of actionable evaluation outputs**:

**7)** **Evaluation of One Health aspects**:

**8)** **Workability** **in terms of required data** (1: very complex, 4: simple):

**9) Workability** **in terms of required people to include** (1: many, 4: few):

**10)** **Workability** **in terms of analysis to be done** (1: difficult, 4: simple):

**11) Time taken for application of tool** (1: > 2 month, 2: 1-2 months, 3: 1 week - 1 month, 4: < 1 week):

## Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats

**1) One thing/key things that I really liked about this tool, or that it covered really well:**

**2) One thing/key things I struggled with:**

**3) One thing/key things people should be aware of when using this tool:**

**4) One thing/key things that this tool is not covering or not good at covering:**

## Scoring of attributes

*Score the degree that the themes are covered by the evaluation tool.*

*Scoring scale: Well covered, More or less covered, Not well covered, Not covered at all*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Themes used in decision-support tool, defined**[here](https://guidance.fp7-risksur.eu/welcome/decision-support/) | **Tool:**  |
| **Score** | **The reasoning for the score** |
| AMR/AMU |  |  |
| Collaboration |  |  |
| Resources |  |  |
| Output and use of the information  |  |  |
| Integration |  |  |
| Adaptivity |  |  |
| Technical operations |  |  |

## Open comments

*Use this space to provide further observations, e.g. other aspects of importance such as general AMU/AMR governance.*

***Disclaimer (for corresponding author):***

*By submitting this case study report to the CoEvalAMR consortium, I grant permission for it to be uploaded to the CoEvalAMR website in the section “case studies” for public access and use under the relevant CC license. I understand that name, email (where applicable), affiliation, and geographic region of the author(s) will be published along with the submitted document.*

*I confirm that the information in the report is accurate and does not violate General Data Protection Regulation / national data protection legislation or copyright laws. I confirm that the report contains the author’s/authors’ own subjective view stemming from the application of the tool and does not represent an institutional view. I acknowledge that the site editors may reject my report should the content be deemed offensive or inappropriate.*

*I confirm that I understand the above statement and give consent to the report being used in the way described.*

* *Yes*
* *No*

*Name and date:*